

To: Stan Kaczmarek[stank@demaximis.com]
From: Hoppe, Michael
Sent: Wed 10/2/2013 8:08:08 PM
Subject: Re: Is this accurate?

I Agree with language.

From: Stan Kaczmarek <StanK@demaximis.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 02, 2013 3:46:52 PM
To: Hoppe, Michael
Subject: Is this accurate?

Mike, I am getting ready to direct that the undercut in area 4T be addressed. Please comment if this language and direction is accurate and acceptable to EPA:

Gary,

EPA requests that CPG attempt a few more cuts in the undercut portion of area 4T as described below and shown in the attached figure. This area is outside of the current silt curtain enclosure. Based on conversations with EPA, it will not be necessary to completely surround area 4T with silt curtains when GLDD attempts these final cuts, but a segment of the silt curtains should still be deployed down current of the dredge operation. Furthermore, on-river personnel should monitor turbidity during this operation to ensure that sediment is not released beyond the immediate area.

If refusal is still encountered in the depicted areas such that there is no significant recovery of sediment, that should be documented. If changing the angle of approach allows sediment removal, or even if it doesn't, those attempts should also be documented and reported back to dmi.

Stan Kaczmarek, PE
de maximis, inc.
186 Center Street, Suite 290
Clinton, NJ 08809
(O) (908) 735-9315
(C) (973) 978-9621